

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Α	ATTORNEY DOCKET N		
09/510,116	02/22/00	MINERVINI		Α :	804RP746	l.
Γ			7	EXAMINER		
JEFFREY R. (SARGANO	MM91/1029	; :	MOHTRAE	Le*	
WALLENSTEIN & WAGNER LTD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMB	ER
311 SOUTH WA 53RD FLOOR CHICAGO IL A			-	2832 E MAILED:		
					10/00/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No. 09/510,116

Applicant(s)

Minervine et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Art Unit 2832

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
herefore ejection	PLY FILED Oct 16, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final n under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination a compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
NCE/ III	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) 🔀	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
exter appro set ir maili	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate insion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The oppriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the not date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
2. 🗆 🕆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🛭	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) [\supset they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
, ,, [issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	NOTE: the new limitations create new issues.
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
7. 🗆 ·	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🕱	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>26-32 and 38-42</u> Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7, 8, 10-16, and 18-25</u>
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \underspace has b) \underspace has not been approved by the Examiner
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	V

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/510,116

Applicant(s)

Minervine et al.

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Group Art Unit 2832



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	rsonnel):
(1) Karl Easthom	(3)
(2) J. Gargano	(4)
Date of Interview Jun 27, 2001	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2]	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 16	
Identification of prior art discussed: of record	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g) Was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nany other comments:	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Certain changes to the claims were tentatively discussed with	hout agreement having been reached.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	nents which the examiner agreed would render the claims py of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	te record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	